

IPRO 303: Innovative Mapping Ethics Reflective Report

Ethics is a consideration of how we relate to each other. The communication aspect is one of specific interest. The way a team communicates with each other is vital to the success of the project. Each organization or company deals with their communication issues in different ways, but some common ground is decided upon before any work is attempted. Therefore, IPRO 303 also dealt with this issue in its own way, and secured the development of the IPRO with deciding its means of communications.

As part of IPRO 303 I encountered a problem in the way team members were communicating with each other. For one task, we were supposed to come together to complete a survey for the project but first no one took the initiative to get the group together to begin to work. As a result precious time was lost due to the lack of initiative by the group collectively. Subsequently, when the group did begin to work on the tasks because of the different communication methods preferred part of the teams had a completely different idea of the task than another part of the team. This also hurt the team because both halves created two different solutions to the problem. Therefore, the code of ethics was broken due to the fact that no consideration of how the team relates to each other was given and ended up keeping the team from achieving this specific goal. The situation could have been avoided if the following things were completed:

1. An effective way of communicating was decided upon the team as a whole before a task was attempted.
2. Team members communicate with each other in a timely manner.
3. Team members kept track of whether the entire team as a whole is involved in completing the task

In the best possible situation, if a method of communication was reached much earlier in the timeline, team members would have been more inclined to talk to each other. With people freely communicating, the task could have been completed earlier. Since the team would have then had time left over, we could have checked the quality of our decision and how they would affect the development our solution in the future