Operation Smooth Brews



Making Every Drop Count

About Euclid Beverage

- In operation for over 50 years distributing beer to Chicago and western suburbs
- 10,000 100,000 cases of beer shipped per day
- Over 200 varieties of beer
- 25-30 individual routes delivered to daily
- 130+ employees

Euclid Beverage Warehouse

230,000 sq. ft. warehouse facility

Located in North Aurora

Statement of Problem

Sponsor Objective:

Evaluate warehouse operation efficiency and supply a list of recommendations for improvement

Our Goals

- Audit Euclid Beverage's warehouse systems and equipment
 - Create process map
 - Examine Official vs. Actual processes
 - Determine changes we, the IPRO team, think they should implement to improve operations.

Team Structure

Efficiency Improvement

- Basel (Cycle Counting Process)
- Soren (Road-Net System)
- Kyle (Beer Order Entry Process)
- June (On Board System)
- Kiyomi (Hot Shots/Add-on Process)
- Rob (Receiving/Pack List Process)
- Reduce Mistakes
 - Andrew (Picking Process)
 - Hee (Picking Process)
 - William (Replenishment Process)
 - Rich (Management Reporting)

Team Operation

Problem-based structure

- Group identifies problems
- Distribute problems to team members
- Creates increased individual accountability
- Sub-teams form naturally as related solutions cause people to work together

Euclid Visits

- Meet with management
- Interview workers
- Observe work
- Test Hypothesis
- Get feedback
- Present results

Results

- Process maps
 - Delivered actual process maps based on our interviews and research
- Recommendations
 - 6 recommendations decided upon
- Performance Tracking Spreadsheet
 - Proof of concept for our database recommendation

Process Maps



Recommendations

- Greater flexibility in warehouse software
- Identify bottlenecks and opportunities to delegate
- Investigate effectiveness of voice technology in all tasks
- Product rationalization for small volume items
- Database
 - Consolidation of reports
 - Performance tracking and metrics
 - Visibility of errors and their costs
 - Prioritization of problems

Prototype of End of Day Database

DATE	Computer Mistake	Broken	No delivery	Overage	Mispick	No One Home	Short Picks	<u>Overpick</u>	No Money	Total
2-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31-Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INCIDENT TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINUTES PER INCIDENT	7	18	27	26	7	27	24	7	27	
MINUTE TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL LABOR COST (\$34)	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
TOTAL GOODWILL (\$5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL INVENTORY (\$11)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Obstacles

• Difficulty of site visits

- Distance
- Three shifts 24 hour operation
- Time overlap with other classes
- Solution: sub-teams visits
- Lack of information and experience
 - Solution: Get out there and see it
- Broad scope of problem
 - Solution: Break down task into smaller pieces and divide among team members
- Confidentiality
 - Solution: Work with client to develop rules for information handling, especially for this presentation

What we Learned

- What did we learn which was not specific to the project?
- Initial assumptions must be tested because they're probably wrong
- Verify everything: get multiple sources
- There is no one right answer, but there are many wrong answers, so speak up when something looks wrong.

Questions?