# **Project** Plan



	IP	RO	301	
Ι	$\mathbf{D}$	$\mathbf{E}$	$\mathbf{A}$	$\mathbf{S}$
n	е	d	n	$\mathbf{t}$
$\mathbf{t}$	$\mathbf{S}$	u	d	r
е	i	с		a
r	g	a		$\mathbf{t}$
d	n	$\mathbf{t}$		e
i		i		g
$\mathbf{S}$		0		i
с		n		e
i				$\mathbf{S}$
р				
l				
i				
n				
a				
r				
v				

Developed for the Summer semester, 2009

# Information

#### Creators

IPRO 301 Summer 2009 Team

Professors

db@brininstool-lynch.com ametter@epsteinglobal.com

## Reproduction and Use

This report was created by the IPRO 301 team along with the Illinois Institute of Technology. These reports are property of the Illinois Institute of Technology, IPRO program. Control over reproduction of the document belongs to the IIT IPRO Program.

No person or organization will be permitted to reproduce this document without permission except for the purpose of use with the IIT IPRO Program, except in the following cases:

...when this document is required for continuation of the project for which it exists (even after the end of the Summer 2009 semester of the project), or

...when reproduced for the purpose of public display to persons not enrolling in IPRO 301 in the future, in which case permission should be obtained from the Summer 2009 members of IPRO 301.

# Abstract

For years, the IPRO Program at IIT has been forced to operate wherever space can be found. Often, facilities are not equipped for the needs of the program. It has become necessary to find a way to give the IPRO Program its own dedicated space.

If we give the program its own space, then what is needed in that space? What needs to be done with it? What types of spaces are necessary? What technologies will be used?

IPRO 301 exists to answer these questions. We will provide ideas to IIT that can solve these problems.

# Contents

1	Tea	m Information	1
	1.1	Roster & Specific Information	1
	1.2	Identity	2
		1.2.1 Name	2
		1.2.2 Logo	2
		1.2.3 Motto	2
2	Tea	m Purpose and Objectives	3
-	2.1	Purpose	3
	2.2	Objectives	3
	_		
3	Bac	ckground	4
	3.1	Client	4
	3.2	Problem Description	4
	3.3	Potential Solution Technologies	4
	3.4	History of Previous Attempts	4
	3.5	Ethical Issues	4
	3.6	Business and Social Costs of the Problem	5
	3.7	Proposed Implementation Outlines	5
	3.8	Similar Solutions	5
4	Tea	m Values Statement	6
	4.1	Team Value Attributes	6
		4.1.1 Communication	6
		4.1.2 Integrity	6
		4.1.3 Respect	6
		4.1.4 Timeliness	6
	4.2	Conflict Resolution	6
_			_
5	Met	thodology/ Brainstorm/ Work Breakdown Structure	7
	0.1	Research	(
		0.1.1 III	7
		0.1.2 Puraue	(
	50	D.1.3 Northwestern	(
	5.2		8
			8
		5.2.2 Facility 2	8
	- 0	5.2.3 Facility 3	8
	5.3		9
			9
		5.3.2 Milderm Presentation	9
		5.3.3 Brochure	9
		5.3.4 Poster	9
			9
		5.3.b Work Product CD	-9

#### CONTENTS

C(	NTENTS	0
6	Expected Results	10
	3.1 Research and Testing	10
	5.2 Products of Research and Testing	10
	5.3 Potential Task Outputs	10
	6.4 Expected Deliverables	10
	3.5 Assumptions, Challenges, & Risks	10
	6.6 Expected Results In the Solution	10
7	Budget	11
8	Task List and Milestones	12
	8.1 Project Task Lists	12
	3.2 IPRO Specific Tasks	12
	3.3 Task Breakdown	12
9	Individual Member Assignments	15
	0.1 Team Organization & Responsibilities	15
	9.2 Leadership	15
	0.3 Individual Member Responsibilities	15
10	Designation of Roles	16
	10.1 Meeting Roles	16
	10.2 Status Roles	16

# **Team Information**

## 1.1 Roster & Specific Information

Team Members				
Person	Contact Info.	Major/Year		
Ed Scanlon	escanlo1@iit.edu	4th Year CIS		
Philip Brierley	pbrierle@iit.edu	3rd Year BUS		
Mihee Choe	mchoe1@iit.edu	3rd Year Arch		
Joel Cornelius	jcorneli@iit.edu	4th Year CIS		
Kai Hansen	khansen3@iit.edu	5th Year Arch		
Gergana Horozova Nalls	ghorozov@iit.edu	5th Year Arch		
Faraz Hussain	hussfar@iit.edu	4th Year Biochem and Biophys		
Kevin Krupp	kkrupp@iit.edu	4th Year Arch		
Alexis Laurence	alauren1@iit.edu	4th Year BUS		
Kristin Lucchesi	klucches@iit.edu	3rd Year ECE		
Aaran McEneff	amceneff@iit.edu	3rd Year BUS		
Vito Natale	vnatale@iit.edu	4th Year Mechanical Engineer		
Mehrdad Nikamalfard	mnikamal@iit.edu	4th Year Inudstrial Engineer		
Timothy Phillips	tphilli4@iit.edu	4th Year Arch		
Dennis Radtke	dradtke@iit.edu	3rd Year Arch		
Ruben Robledo	rrobledo@iit.edu	4th Year Arch		
Jessica Workman	workjes@iit.edu	5th Year Arch		
Julia Valadez	valajul@iit.edu	5th Year Arch		

## CHAPTER 1. TEAM INFORMATION

## 1.2 Identity

### 1.2.1 Name

IDEAS

This is an acronym for the motto.

### 1.2.2 Logo



## 1.2.3 Motto

The motto forms the acronym that is the team name (IDEAS).

 ${\bf I} nterdisciplinary$ 

 $\mathbf{D}\mathrm{esign}$ 

 $\mathbf{E} \mathrm{ducation}$ 

 $\mathbf{A}\mathrm{nd}$ 

 $\mathbf{S}$ trategies

# **Team Purpose and Objectives**

### 2.1 Purpose

The main purpose of IPRO301 is to design and develop a dedicated location for IIT's IPRO Program. For the Summer 2009 semester, the purpose will be to come up with design ideas that can solve the problems.

## 2.2 Objectives

• Determine IPRO's current situation

- Research similar facilities
- Research needs of the program
- Develop designs for potential new IPRO spaces (considering both renovating existing facilities and constructing new facilities)
- Create a proposal, which includes each design

# Background

#### 3.1 Client

The client for IPRO301 is the Illinois Institute of Technology. Specifically, this project is for the IPRO Program.

### 3.2 **Problem Description**

At this time, the IPRO Program is distributed across the IIT campus, with no specific location for any of its operations.

The main IPRO offices are in the 3424 Building, along with many of the class meeting locations. This building gives the impression of a cold war relic. It lacks power connections in the rooms, modern technology, printing facilities, tables and chairs, and in some cases, windows. It is also heavily used by a number of programs that are completely unrelated to IPRO.

A number of IPRO classes are in almost random locations all over the IIT campus. Many of these locations do not properly function as meeting/conference rooms, do not have technology desks (projectors, computers, power, internet), and in the case of this IPRO (IPRO301), the room is actively used by random other people during the meeting time.

## 3.3 Potential Solution Technologies

There are two potential options to solve this problem. The first, and more obvious solution, is to build a new facility for the IPRO program. This has the benefit of complete control over everything. The facility can be designed green, modern, secure, aesthetically pleasing, and as useful as it could possibly be. The downside is finding a location. The IIT campus is not large enough to have a number of empty locations available for development.

The second option is to renovate an existing facility. ing an existing facility might result in these This option has the beenfit of not requireing a location. To some extent, it may be possible to save quite a bit on costs by not pouring a foundation, laying basic structural  $_{4}$  renovation, this issue must be considered.

elements, and other things that can be reclaimed from whatever existing facility.

In either case, the facility in the end will have certain basic requirements. These include technology, including computer labs with internet access and printing facilities, multimedia capabilities, conference centers, and perhaps specialized research laboratories.

#### **3.4** History of Previous Attempts

In the past the IPRO program has moved around without ever having a successful dedicated space.

For some time, offices for this program were in E-1 along with a dedicated conference room and team studio workroom.

The HUB was thought to be the ideal building for a collaborative space. After moving classrooms to the Expo area, it became apparent that the HUB would not suffice do to problems with acoustics and time conflicts with other scheduled events.

One architect drafted plans to establish a space within the HUB, but the plans were never documented as they were impractical and difficult to implement.

Currently the IPRO program is housed in 3424 along with the Stuart School of Business. However, there are limited resources and few classrooms and conference rooms.

#### 3.5 Ethical Issues

Ethical issues faced by this project include gaining access to similar existing facilities. This requires permission from the organizations behind other facilities.

There may be issues involving potential landmark or historical status for buildings on the IIT campus. Modifying an existing facility might result in these issues getting in the way. As noted in the case of Soldier Field (here in Chicago), which lost its national landmark status after renovation, this issue must be considered.

#### CHAPTER 3. BACKGROUND

Also involved in renovating existing facilities is the issue of dangerous materials. Some of the IIT buildings quite literally *are* cold war relics. Two IIT buildings are currently not in use, and have asbestos problems that would have to be addressed.

In the case of a new facility, the impact of the facility must be considered. This includes environmental, social, and architectural concerns.

The final concern is funding. Not only is it important to design the best possible facility, but also to consider both the current economic situation, and IIT's current financial situation. Frivolous spending helps nobody.

## 3.6 Business and Social Costs of the Problem

In addition to the cost of building or renovating, there is also the cost of running the facility that will exist. This is countered by the current cost to the IPRO program, which relies heavily on other program's facilities. Printing, meeting locations, office space, power, communications, and other elements of the IPRO Program's operations have to be covered, whether by the IPRO Program's existing systems, or by outsourcing to other programs or departments. One of the social costs of the problem is the view and acceptance of the IPRO Program. It is easy to view the program just like its facilites. It is scattered, lacks its own abilities, and does not have an obvious, singular idendity at IIT.

## 3.7 Proposed Implementation Outlines

IPRO301 has divided into 3 research teams. We will also divide into 3 production teams. Each team will come up with a solution.

One team will be coming up with a solution that applies to the option of constructing a new facility somewhere on the IIT campus. The other two teams will be looking into renovating existing facilities.

#### 3.8 Similar Solutions

There are a number of similar programs and facilities at other universities. Among them are the University of Illinois at Chicago, Purdue University, and Northwestern University.

We will be sending research teams to investigate the facilities at Purdue and Northwestern Universities.

# **Team Values Statement**

### 4.1 Team Value Attributes

#### 4.1.1 Communication

- Email will be checked daily by all members. Responses should be timely.
- Review meeting minutes as needed.
- As much as possible, everybody will keep everybody else "in the loop".

#### 4.1.2 Integrity

- Each person will be accountable for his/her words and actions.
- Each person will follow through on all promises.

#### 4.1.3 Respect

- Will remain quiet and attentive when someone is speaking.
- Will not speak poorly of other group members in or out of meetings.
- Will keep criticism professional, not personal.

#### 4.1.4 Timeliness

- Arrive on time or early to meetings (both group meetings and meetings with contacts)
- Turn assignments in on time
- Inform the proper people when something will be behind schedule
- Inform the professors, general manager, and respective team leader when meetings will be missed
- We will begin meetings as close to on time as possible, despite attendance issues
- Tasks will be performed in as efficient a manner as possible

### 4.2 Conflict Resolution

In case of conflict, the first step is to meet with the team captain and all involved individuals. This meeting will involve no unnecessary people.

Problems between teams, or involving the team captain, or problems that the team captain can not resolve will be moderated by the general manager.

In the event that the problem can not be resolved by the general manager, then the situation will be handled by the professors. If, for any reason, this does not solve the problem, Tom Jacobius may be contacted.

# Methodology/ Brainstorm/ Work Breakdown Structure

5.1 Research	Review & Rework (as necessary)	Review & Rework (as necessary)
5.1.1 IIT	1hr	1hr
IT	5.1.2 Purdue	5.1.3 Northwestern
Interview 1hr	IT	IT
Summation 2hr	Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr
Business Model	Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr
Interview 1hr	Business Model	Business Model
Summation 2hr	Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr
	Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr
Educational Philosophy Interview 1hr	Educational Philosophy	Educational Philosophy
	Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr
Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr
Facilities	Facilities	Facilities
Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr
Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr
Programming	Programming	Programming
Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr	Interview 1hr
Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr	Summation 2hr
Documenting Research	Documenting Research	Documenting Research
Collection 2hr	Collection 2hr	Collection 2hr
Final Document 4hr	Final Document, 4hr	Final Document 4hr

CHAPTER 5. METHODOLOGY/ B	BRAINSTORM/ WORK BREAKDOW	N STRUCTURE 8
Review & Rework (as necessary)	Collect Construction Needs 8hr	Construction Information
1hr	Document Construction Needs 4hr	Assess Construction Needs From Design 14hr
5.2 Production		Collect Construction Needs 8hr
5.2.1 Facility 1	Review & Rework (as necessary)	Decument Construction Needs
Programming	Inr	4hr
Assess Need 4hr	5.2.2 Facility 2	Review & Rework (as necessary)
Decide Final Programming 3hr	Programming	lhr
	Assess Need 4hr	
<b>Document Programming</b> 3hr	<b>Decide Final Programming</b> 3hr	5.2.3 Facility 3
Review & Rework (as necessary)		Programming
1hr	<b>Document Programming</b> 3hr	Assess Need 4hr
Schematic Design	Review & Rework (as necessary)	<b>Decide Final Programming</b> 3hr
Determine Design Need From Programming 5hr	1hr	Document Programming 3hr
Generate High Level Design	Schematic Design	Review & Rework (as necessary)
Documents 12hr	Determine Design Need From Programming 5hr	1hr
Finalize Facility 1 Design 3hr	Generate High Level Design	Schematic Design
Document Schematics 3hr	Documents 12hr	Determine Design Need From Programming 5hr
Review & Rework (as necessary)	Finalize Facility 2 Design 3hr	Generate High Level Design
1hr	Document Schematics 3hr	Documents 12hr
Design Development	Review & Rework (as necessary)	Finalize Facility 3 Design 3hr
Determine Design Need From Initial Schematics 6hr	1hr	Document Schematics 3hr
Generate Final Design Docu-	Design Development	Review & Rework (as necessary)
ments 20hr	Determine Design Need From Initial Schematics 6hr	1hr
Finalize Final Design 5hr		Design Development
Document Design 4hr	ments 20hr	Determine Design Need From Initial Schematics 6hr
Review & Rework (as necessary)	Finalize Final Design 5hr	Generate Final Design Docu-
	<b>Document Design</b> 4hr	ments 2011
Construction Information	Review & Rework (as necessary)	Finalize Final Design 5hr
Assess Construction Needs From Design 14hr	1hr	Document Design 4hr

CHAPTER 5. METHODOLOGY/ B	RAINSTORM/ WORK BREAKDOW	N STRUCTURE 9
Review & Rework (as necessary)	Assess Available Data	Choose Information For Inclu-
1hr	4hr	2hr
Construction Information	Generate Midterm Presentation	Concrata Postar
Assess Construction Needs From Design 14hr	8hr	9hr
Collect Construction Needs 8hr	Review & Rework (as necessary)	Review & Rework (as necessary)
<b>Document Construction Needs</b> 4hr	Give Midterm Presentation	Upload Poster For Printing
Review & Rework (as necessary)	.5hr	.25hr
1hr	5.3.3 Brochure	Collect Poster From IPRO Office
5.3 IPRO Deliver-	Analyze Guidelines	.5hr
ables	1.5hr	5.3.5 Final Report
5.3.1 Project Plan	Choose Information For Inclu- sion	Analyze Guidelines <sup>3hr</sup>
Distribute Work Elements .5hr	2hr	Collect Information
Complete Work Elements	Generate Brochure	4hr
6hr	7hr	Generate Report
Collect Work Elements <sup>2hr</sup>	Review & Rework (as necessary) 2hr	Review & Rework (as necessary)
<b>Generate Final Document</b> 8hr	Upload Brochure For Printing .25hr	2.5nr <b>Upload Final Report</b> .5hr
Review & Rework (as necessary)	Collect Brochures From IPRO Office	5.3.6 Work Product CD Collect All Information & Doc-
539 Midtorm Procents	.5hr	uments
tion	5.3.4 Poster	3hr
Analyze Guidelines	Analyze Guidelines	Burn Disc
2hr	1.5hr	2hr

# **Expected Results**

#### 6.1 Research and Testing

The entire first phase of the Summer 2009 semester of IPRO301 is aimed at research. We will be attempting to gather detailed data about the problems that the new facility must solve, the current situation for the IPRO Program, other similar facilities at other universities, and the facilities that exist at IIT that might be used in a renovation.

## 6.2 Products of Research and Testing

The results of our research should be a well enough detailed picture of the situation that we can begin to consider potential solutions.

### 6.3 Potential Task Outputs

Our results will include a fairly decent amount of documentation, as well as the designs for ideas that are produced.

#### 6.4 Expected Deliverables

Deliverables will include all documentation generated to describe our research, all documentation of work done, drawings, pictures, renderings, and possibly models.

## 6.5 Assumptions, Challenges, & Risks

In the case where ideas involve potential renovation of existing facilities, the assumption is made that the facilities involved would be available.

Our challenges include the fact that all ideas must come from nothing, since the project is just beginning, and the necessity to design around existing structure in the case where existing facilities might be renovated.

The other main challenge is the fact that this new facility must reflect both IIT and the IPRO Program.

The risks are, mostly, that the ideas created could be rejected. The other main risk is money. Obviously, something like this is an expensive undertaking. One of the challenges of the project is to try to make the new facility a good idea financially. Even so, it is possible that ideas could be rejected based on finances.

## 6.6 Expected Results In the Solution

The main expected result will be 3 booklets, one for each potential solution. These booklets will include programming, floorplans, and other information about the facility.

The other major output will be the collected research about similar facilities. This is where ideas about what should and should not be included in the ideas for solutions at IIT.

# Budget

Budget				
Category Amount		Description		
Transportation	\$150.37	Visiting other universities		
Models	\$120.00	Models of ideas		
Idea Booklets	\$198.00	Final booklets for ideas		
Research Printing	\$90.00	Printing of research related items		
Final Proposal	\$125.00	Self explanatory		
Other Costs	\$66.63	Other costs that may arise		
Total	\$750.00			

# Task List and Milestones

## 8.1 Project Task Lists

- Research (IIT, Purdue, Northwestern)
- Research Documentation and Analysis
- Creating Solution Ideas
- Documenting Solution Ideas
- Finalizing Solution Ideas
- Creating Solution Documents
- Creating Proposal
- IPRO Day

## 8.2 IPRO Specific Tasks

- Project Plan
- Midterm Presentation
- Final Presentation
- Brochure
- Poster
- Work Product CD

### 8.3 Task Breakdown

See figures on the next two pages.



#### CHAPTER 8. TASK LIST AND MILESTONES

13



#### CHAPTER 8. TASK LIST AND MILESTONES

14

# **Individual Member Assignments**

#### 9.1 Organization & Re- 9.2 Team sponsibilities

For the Summer 2009 semester, this IPRO has divided into three teams. There are also two phases to the project.

Phase one will be research. This research will have one team per university investigating other university facilities.

Phase two will be development. In this phase, ideas will be created for solutions at IIT. Three ideas will be created, one per team.

## Leadership

As there are three teams, there are three team captains. Each is responsible for a team. There is also a general manager, who is responsible for coordinating the three teams.

#### 9.3 Individual Member Responsibilities

Team Organization				
Team	IIT Team	Purdue Team	Northwestern Team	
	Julia Valadez (Team Captain)	Ed Scanlon (Team Captain)	Kevin Krupp (Team Captain)	
	Gergana Horozova Nalls	Dennis Radtke	Aaran McEneff	
	Faraz Hussain	Alexis Laurence	Kai Hansen	
Members	Joel Cornelius	Mihee Choe	Phillip Brierley	
	Vito Natale	Mehrdad Nikamalfard	Kristen Lucchesi	
	Jessica Workman	Timothy Phillips	Ruben Robledo	

# **Designation of Roles**

## 10.1 Meeting Roles

Roles : Meeting		
Role	Person	
Minute Taker	Kevin Krupp	
Agenda Maker	Ed Scanlon	
Time Keeper	Philip Brierly	

## 10.2 Status Roles

Roles : Status		
Role	Person	
Timesheet Collector	Julia Valadez	
Master Schedule Maker	Kristen Lucchesi	
iGroups	Timothy Phillips	

# Bibliography

- [1] IIT Logo (  $\checkmark$  ) belongs to the Illinois Institute of Technology
- [2] Purdue University and facilities researched are ©Purdue University
- [3] Northwestern University and facilities researched ©Northwestern University
- [4] IIT's IPRO Program is ©the Illinois Instistute of Technology
- [5] Google Calendar (used in section 8.3) is ©Google