

## THE CLASS OF 1932

The class of '32 has now passed through the third collegiate year of its war against engineering fundamentals. As might be expected, there are not so many members as formerly, for various rigors and hazards have been encountered and these in turn have exacted their usual toll. Through this experience the members of the class are beginning to realize what it will mean to enter the cruel, merciless world of business competition after departing from the friendly walls of Armour Institute of Technology.

Using the knowledge of calculus, physics, and mechanics gathered in the sophomore year, the class bravely encountered the problems in the engi-



*J. O. Cavanagh*

neering subjects. Theory in the basic subjects now has a greater significance in actual engineering application. Although the class realizes that it is travelling the road which is well marked and well policed by the faculty, yet it believes that it has completed the lap with due credit to itself.

'32 men have carried the spirit of the class, and all have been eager to elevate the school's good name by supporting every activity. Although not successful in securing championships in interclass athletics, the fact that the other classes did not have an easy time winning the contests clearly indicated that the defeats were not due to a lack of enthusiasm or



Ustryski, Sandberg, Hawes, Walker, Wieland, Schramm, Bogot, Chun, Leichtenberg, Beattie, Hess,  
Graham, Hammond, Hostetter, Zvonecek, Nelson  
Kantner, Wierzbowski, Wiltrakis, Yount, Young, DeOrio, Morowitz, Cannell, Goldstein, Clucas, Otto,  
McCall, Moncallet, Carlton, Bergtson, Owen.