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A. Abstract

The problem that IPro 307 was undertaking was to improve the efficiency and overall capacity of the 47th street rail yard. The IPro looked into the new green technologies such as CNG, wind power, and even bio-fuels. The idea was to increase intermodal traffic by improving the general operation of the yard itself, and then analyze the feasibility of the ideas that were generated. This included a visit to the yard itself and the examination of what could or could not improve, and how it could be done. The IPro divided into two groups and looked at each problem, efficiency and capacity, separately. With the help of MiJack, the IPro’s sponsor, the entire group was able to achieve some insight as to how the inner workings of the rail yard operate. IPro 307 was a large group effort with the cooperation of multiple disciplines to reach the final goals.

B. Objective:

The direction Ipro 307 “Intermodal Container Transport” is taking for the 2008 semester is geared toward alternative energy technologies. The designated exploration site is the Norfolk Southern rail transfer yard at 47th street near the Dan Ryan Expressway. Since there is a large amount of on-site equipment (Mi-Jack side loaders, cranes, and yard hostlers), the possibility of introducing alternative fuel technologies exists and can provide a cleaner and more cost-effective solution to air pollution and rising fuel costs. The group will be focusing efforts on using wind power in parallel with compressed natural gas as an alternative fuel. The ultimate goal of this IPro is to develop a plan to increase yard efficiency and output, while at the same time incorporating green technology as an alternative energy source for the facility equipment.

C. Background

One of the largest transportation industries in the United States is the railroad industry. Although this industry is linked with the trucking industry to help deliver containers, it stands out as one of the most effective ways to transport large amounts of cargo across the nation. The railroad network consists of intermodal yards at which the containers can be loaded and unloaded for further transportation. These yards are often inefficient as they have tendencies to waste space, create pollution, and provide an influx of traffic to a region. To make the situation worse, future predictions state that the intermodal business will in fact double in the next 5-8 years.

Since Chicago is the 3rd largest intermodal port in the world, these congestion and pollution problems are a rather large issue. The specific yard in question is the 47th street Norfolk Southern intermodal yard located near the Dan Ryan Expressway. However, this IPro will not encompass all issues that are introduced by the intermodal yard. The two main purposes of the Fall 2008 IPro will be introducing green wind power technology and providing a plan and/or design for increasing the yard’s capacity, reducing its clutter, and increasing overall efficiency. The equipment provided in this facility is largely that of Mi-Jack, who will also be sponsoring our IPro. Mi-Jack is a large provider, and in fact leader, of intermodal yard equipment manufacturing, sales, service, and support and
could benefit from proposals given by the IPro on improving the yard itself.

The Fall 2008 Semester IPro is technically different from previous semesters as it will focus on the improvement of a specific site (47th street) by implementing new and rising technologies. The IPro group will be doing research of several pollution problems caused by the engines used at these sites, the main contributors being diesel engines – on and off road. Also, the group, having taken a trip to the actual site, will use the input provided by the yard personnel to propose ideas for new equipment, site expansion, and storage for containers.

D. **Methodology**

   a. Define the Problem:
      i. Double the capacity of the Norfolk Southern rail yard.
      ii. Implement alternative energy technology.

   b. Describe how the team will go about solving the problems:
      i. The group will be divided into sub-groups with two major areas of focus.
      ii. Sub-Group foci will be Resource Management and Facility Capacity/Efficiency Expansion.

   c. Explain how the potential solution be tested:
      i. The installation of a wind turbine on the IIT campus and the proposed application to be powered by it will demonstrate alternative energy technology.

   d. Describe how results of research and testing will be documented:
      i. The wind turbine will have an integrated telemetry system (wattage and amperage output measurement).
      ii. Wind direction testing on top of Machinery Hall at IIT, in order to acquire the optimum position for the wind turbine placement.

   e. Define how analysis of the test results will be conducted:
      i. The results of the wind direction testing will provide an ideal location for the placement of the wind turbines.

   f. Explain how the IPro deliverable reports will be generated:
      i. The deliverables will be assigned to teams and/or individuals. They will then be submitted to iGroups for peer review and final submission.
      ii. Assignment of deliverables will be decided by the IPro group as a whole.
      iii. Individual research and presentations will be prepared and presented at specified dates established by the group. They will be reviewed and discussed by the group as a whole.

E. **Expected Results**

   a. Working windmill and powering an application
   b. Telemetry system to display watt and amps generated
   c. Wind mill applications: lighting systems, compressors for CNG
d. Reduction in air pollution on-site; cost efficiency for the yard
e. A functioning wind mill with direct application
f. Sponsor expects green technology implementation which will be available at the end of the semester.
g. Wanted to convert diesel engine in yard equipment to run on CNG compressed by wind energy.

F. **Project Budget – Sponsored by Mi-Jack**

Itemized budget for IPro 307:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 Volt Wind turbine (400 Watt)</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Volt inverter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltmeter</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing/Plotting costs</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models of Trucks/Trains (6 @ 40 each)</td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Fluorescent Paint</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Sensor Light</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1479</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. **Results**
The IPro 307 team was successfully able to come up with ideas for improving the railyard. The team created the following:

- Two new proposals for a yard layout
- A new device for connecting containers together
- Ideas for implementing the use of CNG and other fuels
- Wind technology ideas for powering the railyard lighting
- A “flipper” design for lowering traffic and increasing the throughput of containers
- IBC was submitted to patent office

Many of these ideas were a rather first look at how the improvement can be done, most for completion during the next semester. The ideas completed this semester, however not perfected, were the flipper, and the IBC or inter box connector. Also a good layout for a CNG station was created, and was recorded.

The major issues that were encountered during the entire IPro were any permits that were required, which were taken care of. Also, the cost considerations were taken into effect and were implanted into any design to see if it was effective or not.

H. **Recommendations**

For the future IPro semesters, there are many recommendations from the research that was done. These recommendations include:
- Research on actual numbers of throughput of containers and how much each task would improve the numbers.
• The cost of purchasing land for expanding the rail yard and its effects on the surrounding area.
• How much more cost effective, if at all, is transportation by truck vs. by train?
• The actual costs of CNG startup, as in, how much would it cost to start a CNG station and any other associated costs.
• Is transportation by truck faster than by train?
• Is wind energy a feasible idea for powering the railyard?
• Also, further development as to how the trucks or trains themselves can be improved.

These are future recommendations for the IPro’s, which will hopefully help the teams incorporate their findings and improve the railyard even further. This can also provide a new agenda for the IPro to build upon the previous findings of this IPro.
I. **Overall Schedule/ Schedule of Tasks**

1. **General Deliverable Schedule**
   - Ipro Faculty Orientation: 8-20, 21, 25-08
   - First 307 group meeting: 8-26-08
   - Team building session: 8-26-08
   - Turn in curriculum vitae: 8-26-08
   - Project management seminar: 8-26-08
   - NS railroad site visit: 9-4-08
   - Business planning seminar: 9-9-08
   - Innovation and design seminar: 9-11-08
   - Project Plan: 9-18-08
   - Midterm review presentation: 10-6 - 10-15 TBA
   - Ethics statement: 10-15-08
   - Poster / Exhibit: 11-26-08
   - Brochure / Abstract: 11-26-08
   - Final Presentation: 12-3-08
   - Final Report: 12-4-08
   - Ipro Day: 12-5-08
   - Ipro Deliverable CD: 12-8-08
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Fuel Technologies</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Finish Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel Engine Mandates</td>
<td>8/26/2008</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG CNG fuel alternatives</td>
<td>8/26/2008</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly of 400 Watt wind mill</td>
<td>8/26/2008</td>
<td>9/4/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research of required permits</td>
<td>8/26/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of wind-mill telemetry system</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping station research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressed natural gas storage area</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping station design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of deep cycle batteries</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of inverter</td>
<td>9/2/2008</td>
<td>9/11/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas pipeline national research</td>
<td>9/4/2008</td>
<td>9/16/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of Mijack and yard trucks:</td>
<td>9/4/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG vs. current fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mijack subsidiary - Q-sales site visit</td>
<td>9/11/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal for purchase of second windmill</td>
<td>9/11/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight transportation statistics presentation</td>
<td>9/11/2008</td>
<td>9/16/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas pipeline international research</td>
<td>9/16/2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NS Site Exploration</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Finish Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Preparation for site visit  8/26/2008

Configuration and printing of aerial map  8/26/2008  9/2/2008
(large scale)

Research on NS. Site/neighborhood zoning  9/2/2008  9/11/2008
designations

Determination of building uses in neighborhood  9/2/2008

Occupancy survey of surrounding neighborhood  9/11/2008

Audio to mp3 conversion of NS site meeting  9/11/2008

Follow up questions for NS railyard  9/11/2008

Estimate of hours needed:
1. Roughly 4.5 hours needed for each task which included research and presentation.

Task member requirement:
2. So far, each task has been completed individually.
3. Subgroups have been established to deal with task-specific items on the agenda.

J. Individual Team Member Assignments

**Group 1 – Resource Management** – This group is responsible for setting up the provided/purchased wind mill to help power developed applications. This group will assemble a demonstration of wind technology.

Key Objectives Include:
1. Setting Up Wind Mill on flag pole.
2. Attaching wind mill to established circuit from zero energy lab.
3. Demonstration of working circuit as green wind power technology.
4. Possible integration of technology into railroad yard.

Members of this group are (may change throughout semester):
- Michal Kaska
- Plamen Marinov
- Krzysztof Slomiany
- Andrew Kedzuch
- Kwong Cheung
**Team Leader: Michal Kaska**

**Group 2 – Facility capacity expansion** – This group is responsible for increasing the capacity and efficiency of the yard, along with developing the applications for green power. This group will plan out the use of and utilize the green(er) power provided by the resource management group.

Key Objectives Include:
1. Design of a “Flipper”.
2. Implementing a design to increase the yard size (by reducing clutter, improving storage, etc.).
3. Floored Parking
4. Limit International Storage for containers.
5. Permit requirements for raising wind mill.

Member of this group are (may change throughout semester):
- Xavier Alarcon
- Tom Lis
- Linh Nguyen
- Bradley Suik
- Daniel Fuentes
- Ryan Loquidis
- Irina Magdel

**Team Leader: Xavier Alarcon**

The two groups will coordinate their efforts to reach the general goal of improving the yard.

IPro Team Leader and Advisor is Laurence Rohter.

**K. Designation of Roles**

- Teamwork is an important part of the process required to arrive at a final goal. The necessary skills needed to become a leader for a project in the future will be learned during the various tasks assigned in this IPro. Therefore, IPRO 307 implements a form where every member of the group will be a meeting leader at some portion of the project, as well as minute taker will be rotated using an indexing method.

- The subgroup are identified in section G. The time keeping process was done using a secretarial position for each meeting where the secretary would record the discussion and would assume the responsibility of session leader for the next meeting.
• The IPro Team Leader would request and/or provide tasks to be completed and assign specific agendas for the entire group. From there, tasks would be divided amongst people and “deliverables” such as presentation would be shown the following session or whenever they were scheduled. “Time keeper” in this IPro is assumed by the position of session leader.

• Master Schedule maker role was taken up Krzysztof Slomiany as listed in previous section.

• iGroups was used jointly whenever project or presentation needed to be posted for reference for the rest of the group. This was and is an effective communication tool for this IPro.